

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELATE CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.483 OF 1999

Shamkumar Janardan Patil ..Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None present for the Petitioner

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
S.P. KUKDAY, JJ.
DATE: 28TH FEBRUARY, 2005.**

P.C.

. None present for the Petitioner when the matter is called out.

2. We have considered the averments in the petition and the affidavit filed by Dilipkumar Rathod working in the office of the Divisional Social Welfare Officer.

3. The case of the Petitioner is that he belongs to 'Hindu Vanjari' Community which is O.B.C. Based on material he wanted to claim that 'Vanjari' Community in Maharashtra is synonymous to Banjara which was recognised as 'Vimukt Jati'. From the affidavit of Dilipkumar Rathod it is clear that the documentary evidence on record shows that the Petitioner is belonging to Vanjari and not Banjara. The law as now settled that it is not for the Courts to examine whether the particular name is synonymous

to the entry in the presidential notification and the entry in the notification must be read as it stands. If so read 'Banjara' would be different from 'Vanajari'. There is no merit in this petition. In the light of that Rule discharged. No order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY, J.)